PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:):			PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220 Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	International application No. International filing date PCT/IT2004/000054 12.02.2004			day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03.11.2003	
	rnational Patent Clas 1K7/32, A61K33/0		both national classification	and IPC		
Арр	licant CEM INDUSTRIA					
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:		
1.	_		ons relating to the foll	owing items:		
1.	This opinion co Box No. I Box No. II	ontains indication Basis of the operation		owing items:		
1.	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion		ntive step and industrial applicability	
1.	☐ Box No. I	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o	ninion ment of opinion with reg If invention	ard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability	
1.	☑ Box No. I☑ Box No. II☑ Box No. III	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o	ninion ment of opinion with reg If invention	ard to novelty, inver	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
1.	Box No. IBox No. IIBox No. IIIBox No. IV	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o	ninion ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> itations and explanation	ard to novelty, inver	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
1.	 Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V 	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; ci	ninion ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> itations and explanation	ard to novelty, inver s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such s	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
1.	 Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V 	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects	ninion ment of opinion with reg if invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> titations and explanation tents cited	ard to novelty, invers.1(a)(i) with regards supporting such solication	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIIII Box No. VIIII Box No. VIIII	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o Reasoned stat applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects Certain observ	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> itations and explanation nents cited s in the international appressions on the internation	ard to novelty, invers.1(a)(i) with regards supporting such solication	to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement	
2.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT Written opinion of the applicant che international But will not be so co	Basis of the operation of the International predictions an Author reau under Rule nsidered.	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanation tents cited is in the international appreciations on the internation liminary examination is all Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to	ard to novelty, inverse. 5.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such supporting such supporting such supporting such supporting such supplication made, this opinion was a supported by the IPEA and the supplication supplication supplication supplication supporting supplication suppl	to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement will usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the mational Searching Authority	
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. VV Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI Written opinion of the applicant chular applicant chular in the so co	Basis of the operation of the International presentation of the Internation of the Intern	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43bis itations and explanation nents cited is in the international appreciations on the internation al Preliminary examination is al Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written of	ard to novelty, inverse. 5.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such supporting suppor	to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement will usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the	
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI Vitten opinion of the applicant che International Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the whichever expired	Basis of the operation of the International presentation of the Internation of the Intern	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43bit itations and explanation nents cited is in the international appropriations on the internation al Preliminary examination is al Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written of the over considered to be a by together, where appropriation of Form PCT/ISA/220 of	ard to novelty, inverse. 5.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such supporting suppor	vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where ne chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority the IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three	
 2. 3. 	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. VV Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che International But will not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the whichever expire	Basis of the operation of the International presentation of the Internation of the Intern	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43bit itations and explanation nents cited is in the international appropriations on the internation al Preliminary examination is al Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written of the over considered to be a by together, where appropriation of Form PCT/ISA/220 of	ard to novelty, inverse. 5.1(a)(i) with regard supporting such supporting suppor	vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where ne chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority the IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three	



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas



International application No. PCT/IT2004/000054

	Во	k No. I Basi	s of the opinion				
1.	Wit the	h regard to the language in w	e language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		language ,	has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	Wit	h regard to an essary to the	y nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. ty	a. type of material:					
	ſ	□ a sequen	ce listing				
	[□ table(s) re	elated to the sequence listing				
	b. fo	ormat of mate	at of material:				
	[☐ in written	format				
	[☐ in comput	er readable form				
	c. ti	me of filing/fur	nishing:				
	[□ contained	in the international application as filed.				
	1	☐ filed toget	her with the international application in computer readable form.				
	[☐ furnished	subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		has been file copies is idea	n the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto d or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ntical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as were furnished.				
4.	Ado	litional comme	ents:				

International application No. PCT/IT2004/000054

	Box	No. II	Priority
1.	Ø	The fo	llowing document has not been furnished:
		Ø	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Conse	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		has he	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Ado	ditional o	observations, if necessary:

International application No. PCT/IT2004/000054

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,					
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-11 13 14					
bed	because:					
\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-11 with respect to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
⊠	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 2-5 13 14 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
•	' see separate sheet					
Ø	the claims, or said claims Nos. 3-5 13 14 are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form	□ has not been furnished				
	·	☐ does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form	□ has not been furnished				
		☐ does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
П	See senarate sheet for further	details				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-11 14-18

No: Claims

1 2 12 13 19

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

3-11 14-16 18

No: Claims

1 2 12 13 17 19

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

12-19

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

 Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Re Item III.

- 1. Claims 1-11 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).
- 2. Moreover, if the claims are amended to e.g. a composition per se, then, present claims 3-5, 13 and 14 relate to a composition and method defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely a resin capable of stably fixing the active principle and to release it gradually in the course of time.

The claims cover all resins having this characteristic or property, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of PCT Article 6 and disclosure within the meaning of PCT Article 5 for only a very limited number of such resins.

In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible.

Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (PCT Article 6). Moreover, the subject-matter of claims 2 and 13 are defined by their intended use (see PCT guidelines 5.35). An attempt is made to define the method and composition by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible.

Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, as if claims 1-11 would relate to a composition per se, namely compositions comprising acrylic, silicone, butadiene or polyurethane resins.

Re Item V.

Remarks:

- a. For the sake of examination, it is assumed that claims 13-18 are composition claims depending of the composition claim 12.
- b. The examination of claims 3-5, 13 and 14 has been limited to the part as defined above (Item III)
- 1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199803

Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class B07, AN 1998-026920

XP002305423 &; JP 09 285483 A (KATO M) 4 November 1997 (1997-11-04)

D2: DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199743

Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class A11, AN 1997-466495

XP002305424 &; JP 09 217274 A (ASAHI KASEI KOGYO KK) 19 August 1997 (1997-08-19)

D3: DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 200135
Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class D21, AN 2001-329361
XP002305426 &; BR 9 903 830 A (CARVALHO
MATTOSINHO J B) 24 April 2001 (2001-04-24)
D4: US 4 260 660 A (MCCARTER ROBERT J) 7 April 1981 (1981-04-07)

- 2. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 12 and 19
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 12 and 19 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses sanitary pads comprising a nonwoven fabric bearing sulphur to prevent growth of athlete's foot bacteria (Tinea Pedis) and to deodorise foot odour. Document D2 also discloses antibacterial nonwoven-fabrics comprising colloidal sulphur for preventing athletes foot.
- 2.2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IT2004/000054

Document D3 discloses a capillary cream containing sulphur, vaseline and lanoline.

- 2.3 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 12 and 19 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D4 discloses textile materials which have been treated with a composition comprising sulphur and a latex chosen from acrylic type latex and butadiene rubber type latex (see example 3, lines 48-54).
- 3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 13 AND 17:

Dependent claims 2, 13, 17 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).